1 Aaron Greenspan (*Pro Se*) 956 Carolina Street 2 San Francisco, CA 94107-3337 Phone: +1 415 670 9350 3 Fax: +1 415 373 3959 E-Mail: aaron.greenspan@plainsite.org 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 7 8 Case No. 3:20-cy-03426-JD 9 AARON GREENSPAN, **DECLARATION OF AARON** 10 Plaintiff, **GREENSPAN IN SUPPORT OF** 11 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENDANT OMAR v. 12 **OAZI'S AND SMICK** OMAR QAZI, SMICK ENTERPRISES, INC., ENTERPRISES. INC'S MOTION 13 ELON MUSK, and TESLA, INC., FOR ATTORNEY FEES, ECF NO. 173 14 Defendants. 15 16 I, Aaron Greenspan, declare as follows: 17 On June 1, 2022 at 12:56 P.M. Pacific Time, I received an e-mail from Karl 1. 18 Kronenberger, counsel for Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc. The e-mail informed me of 19 his clients' intent to file a motion for attorney's fees in this action. 20 2. Attorney Kronenberger offered three separate time choices to "meet and confer" 21 pursuant to "LR 54-5," giving one, two and three hours notice, respectively. 22 3. I responded promptly to Attorney Kronenberger informing him of my intent to 23 file a motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) on or before June 16, 2022, and 24 if necessary, to appeal the case to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. I also 25 expressed my willingness to meet at 2:00 P.M. that day. At 1:06 P.M., in response, he sent me 26 another e-mail containing a link to a Zoom meeting. 27 I met with Attorney Kronenberger over Zoom at 2:00 P.M. We had a cordial

28

DECLARATION OF AARON GREENSPAN

meeting where I expressed my concern with his plan to file a motion for fees in the middle of the case, which seemed to me to be an unwise choice due to the likelihood that it would cause needless follow-up filings and expenses for both sides. Instead, I suggested that based on precedent, we file a joint stipulation to extend the filing deadline, and as I understood it, Attorney Kronenberger agreed.

- 5. I further told Attorney Kronenberger that the amount he was planning to request at the time, \$114,607.32, was grossly disproportionate to the time spent defending the copyright and securities claims, which I believed and still believe have been incorrectly decided. I estimated that his firm spent \$20,000 at most defending these claims given that the vast majority of the case concerned his clients' libelous and harassing actions. Regarding these state law claims, Attorney Kronenberger's firm repeatedly prepared baseless anti-SLAPP motions, later confirmed to have no legal basis by the California Court of Appeals in two cases decided after this action was filed: *Block v. Bramzon*, Case Nos. B292129, B297198, Cal. App. 2d (January 22, 2021), and *Hothi v. Musk*, Case No. A162400, Cal App. 1st (December 20, 2021).
- 6. Attorney Kronenberger seemed unaware of the decision in *Block* and asked me to repeat it despite my citing the case in ECF Nos. 121, 123, and 148.
- 7. Attorney Kronenberger asked me to prepare a draft joint stipulation along the lines of what I was suggesting. I found this unusual because it is rare for attorneys to ask *pro se* litigants to prepare legal documents for them. I asked him if he was sure that he did not want to prepare the draft joint stipulation himself given his relative degree of experience. Attorney Kronenberger reiterated that I should draft it, and he further indicated that he would review the draft and ideally get it on file that afternoon or evening given the impending deadline set out by Civil Local Rule 54-5.
 - 8. Our meeting concluded around 2:25 P.M.
- 9. I complied with our agreement, and I sent him an e-mail containing a draft joint stipulation prepared to the best of my ability at 3:13 P.M.
 - 10. Attorney Kronenberger never responded to the message containing the draft.

Instead, he disregarded our agreement and on June 2, 2022 at 6:05 P.M. he filed a motion for attorney's fees, ECF No. 173, along with a declaration that omitted any mention of our meeting and omitted a summary of hours by legal practitioner, in violation of Civil Local Rule 54-5.

- 11. Had Attorney Kronenberger included a truthful statement regarding our Zoom meeting, he would have been forced to disclose that he had asked me at least twice to draft a joint stipulation to extend the time to file, and that he had received such a draft from me, which he then unilaterally ignored due to his apparent rush to request fees.
- 12. As Exhibit A, I have attached a true and correct copy of my e-mail correspondence with Attorney Kronenberger concerning the motion he filed as ECF No. 173.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the above statements are true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 3, 2022 in San Francisco, California.

Dated: June 3, 2022

Claur Shaupan Aaron Greenspan

EXHIBIT A

E-Mail Correspondence With Kronenberger Rosenfeld LLP Regarding
Motion For Attorney's Fees

From: Karl Kronenberger karl@krinternetlaw.com @

Subject: Meet and Confer re Motion for Fees (Greenspan v. Qazi, et al.)

Date: June 1, 2022 at 12:56 PM

To: Aaron Greenspan aaron.greenspan@plainsite.org

Cc: Jeff Rosenfeld jeff@krinternetlaw.com, Kate Hollist kate@krinternetlaw.com

Hello Aaron,

I am contacting you under LR 54-5 to meet and confer about a motion for attorney's fees that we intend to file.

We intend ask the Court to award fees that we incurred related to the copyright and securities law claims that were dismissed. We are seeking approximately \$114,607.32 in fees related to these claims, which is a portion of the total fees incurred in the case.

Are you available today at 2:00, 3:00, or 4:00 to for a meet and confer call? The purpose of the call would be to resolve the fee issue prior to us having to file a motion.

Sincerely,

Karl

Karl S. Kronenberger,

PARTNER

KRONENBERGER ROSENFELD, LLP

150 Post Street, Ste 520 San Francisco, CA 94108

Phone: (415) 955-1155 Ext. 114

<u>Get vCard</u> <u>LinkedIn</u> <u>karl@krinternetlaw.com</u> <u>www.krinternetlaw.com</u>









NOTICE: This email may contain material that is privileged, confidential, and/or attorney-client work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express written permission is strictly prohibited If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender at the above number and delete all copies. Inadvertent waiver shall waive no privileges.

Tax Advice Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS under Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments), unless otherwise specifically stated, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting marketing or recommending to another party any matters addressed herein (the foregoing paragraph has been affixed pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice).

From: Aaron Greenspan aaron.greenspan@plainsite.org

Subject: Re: Meet and Confer re Motion for Fees (Greenspan v. Qazi, et al.)

Date: June 1, 2022 at 1:02 PM

To: Karl Kronenberger karl@krinternetlaw.com

Cc: Jeff Rosenfeld jeff@krinternetlaw.com, Kate Hollist kate@krinternetlaw.com

Karl,

I'm not sure this would be the appropriate time to file such a motion. I intend to file a Rule 60(b) motion, and if that is denied, I will be appealing to the Ninth Circuit.

Glad to discuss at 2:00 if that works for you.

Aaron

PlainSite I https://www.plainsite.org

On Jun 1, 2022, at 12:59 PM, Karl Kronenberger <karl@krinternetlaw.com> wrote:

Hello Aaron,

I am contacting you under LR 54-5 to meet and confer about a motion for attorney's fees that we intend to file.

We intend ask the Court to award fees that we incurred related to the copyright and securities law claims that were dismissed. We are seeking approximately \$114,607.32 in fees related to these claims, which is a portion of the total fees incurred in the case.

Are you available today at 2:00, 3:00, or 4:00 to for a meet and confer call? The purpose of the call would be to resolve the fee issue prior to us having to file a motion.

Sincerely,

Karl

Karl S. Kronenberger,

PARTNER

KRONENBERGER ROSENFELD, LLP

150 Post Street, Ste 520 San Francisco, CA 94108

Phone: (415) 955-1155 Ext. 114

Get vCard LinkedIn karl@krinternetlaw.com

www.krinternetlaw.com

<image001.png>

Follow us

<image002.png> <image003.png>

NOTICE: This email may contain material that is privileged, confidential, and/or attorney-client work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express written permission is strictly

Case 3:20-cv-03426-JD Document 175-1 Filed 06/03/22 Page 7 of 14

promotion. If you are not the interlace recipient, prease contact the sender at the above number and delete an copies. Indevention waiver shall waive no privileges.

Tax Advice Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS under Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments), unless otherwise specifically stated, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any matters addressed herein (the foregoing paragraph has been affixed pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice).

From: Karl Kronenberger karl@krinternetlaw.com @

Subject: Meet and Confer re Motion for Fees (Greenspan v. Qazi, et al.)

Date: June 1, 2022 at 1:06 PM

To: Aaron Greenspan aaron.greenspan@plainsite.org

Cc: Jeff Rosenfeld jeff@krinternetlaw.com, Kate Hollist kate@krinternetlaw.com

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88564854980? pwd=ZVFUT3R4c3hlbWdlMjR4b1k4amk4dz09&from=addon

From: Aaron Greenspan aaron.greenspan@plainsite.org

Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 1:03 PM

To: Karl Kronenberger < <u>karl@krinternetlaw.com</u>>

Cc: Jeff Rosenfeld <jeff@krinternetlaw.com>; Kate Hollist <kate@krinternetlaw.com>

Subject: Re: Meet and Confer re Motion for Fees (Greenspan v. Qazi, et al.)

Karl,

I'm not sure this would be the appropriate time to file such a motion. I intend to file a Rule 60(b) motion, and if that is denied, I will be appealing to the Ninth Circuit.

Glad to discuss at 2:00 if that works for you.

Aaron

PlainSite I https://www.plainsite.org

On Jun 1, 2022, at 12:59 PM, Karl Kronenberger < karl@krinternetlaw.com > wrote:

Hello Aaron,

I am contacting you under LR 54-5 to meet and confer about a motion for attorney's fees that we intend to file.

We intend ask the Court to award fees that we incurred related to the copyright and securities law claims that were dismissed. We are seeking approximately \$114,607.32 in fees related to these claims, which is a portion of the total fees incurred in the case.

Are you available today at 2:00, 3:00, or 4:00 to for a meet and confer call? The purpose of the call would be to resolve the fee issue prior to us having to file a motion.

Sincerely,

Karl

Karl S. Kronenberger,

PARTNER KRONENBERGER ROSENFELD, LLP

LJU FUSL JLIEEL, JLE JZU JAH FLAHUISUU, CA J41UO

Phone: (415) 955-1155 Ext. 114

Get vCard LinkedIn karl@krinternetlaw.com

www.krinternetlaw.com

<image001.png>

Follow us

<image002.png> <image003.png>

NOTICE: This email may contain material that is privileged, confidential, and/or attorney-client work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express written permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender at the above number and delete all copies. Inadvertent waiver shall waive no privileges.

Tax Advice Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS under Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments), unless otherwise specifically stated, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any matters addressed herein (the foregoing paragraph has been affixed pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice).



Hi there.

Karl Kronenberger (he/him) is inviting you to a scheduled Zoom meeting.

Join Zoom Meeting

Meeting URL: https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88564854980?

pwd=ZVFUT3R4c3hlbWdlMjR4b1k4amk4dz09&from=addon

Meeting ID: 885 6485 4980

Passcode: 640291



Case 3:20-cv-03426-JD Document 175-1 Filed 06/03/22 Page 10 of 14

From: Aaron Greenspan aaron.greenspan@PLAINSITE.ORG **O**Subject: Re: Meet and Confer re Motion for Fees (Greenspan v. Qazi, et al.)

Date: June 1, 2022 at 3:13 PM

To: Karl Kronenberger karl@krinternetlaw.com

Cc: Jeff Rosenfeld jeff@krinternetlaw.com, Kate Hollist kate@krinternetlaw.com

Karl,

See attached draft.

Since I just saved your client the 45 minutes I spent putting this together, I assume you will be taking that into account in your planned motion for fees with an appropriate deduction from whatever the total request comes out to be. As we discussed, your estimate of \$114,607.32 is frankly absurd. I cannot imagine that you actually billed more than \$20K (meaning, maximum, but much more likely \$10-15K) related to the copyright and securities claims and I expect the invoices you attach to support your ultimate request.

As we also discussed, it would be financially sensible for your client to settle the case rather than continue paying for legal fees whether there ends up being an appeal or not. Even responding to the Rule 60(b) motion will take some time.

Please review and send back with any edits.

Aaron

PlainSite I https://www.plainsite.org



20220601.stipul ationdraft.docx

1 2 3 4 5	KRONENBERGER ROSENFELD, LLP KARL S. KRONENBERGER (226112) (karl@KRInternetLaw.com) JEFFREY M. ROSENFELD (222187) (jeff@KRInternetLaw.com) 150 Post Street, Suite 520 San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 955-1155 Facsimile: (415) 955-1158	
6 7	Attorneys for Defendants OMAR QAZI and SMICK ENTERPRISES, IN	NC.
8 9 10 11	Aaron Greenspan (<i>Pro Se</i>) 956 Carolina Street San Francisco, CA 94107-3337 Phone: +1 415 670 9350 Fax: +1 415 373 3959 E-Mail: aaron.greenspan@plainsite.org	
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
16		
17	AARON GREENSPAN,	Case No. 3:20-cv-03426-JD
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED REQUEST AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO ENLARGE
19	v.	TIME FOR FILING OF MOTION
20	OMAR QAZI, SMICK ENTERPRISES, INC. ELON MUSK, and TESLA, INC.	FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES
2021	INC., ELON MUSK, and TESLA, INC.,	FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES (CIV. L.R. 7-12)
		FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES
21	INC., ELON MUSK, and TESLA, INC.,	FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES (CIV. L.R. 7-12) Judge: Hon. James Donato
21 22	INC., ELON MUSK, and TESLA, INC.,	FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES (CIV. L.R. 7-12) Judge: Hon. James Donato
21 22 23	INC., ELON MUSK, and TESLA, INC.,	FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES (CIV. L.R. 7-12) Judge: Hon. James Donato
21222324	INC., ELON MUSK, and TESLA, INC.,	FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES (CIV. L.R. 7-12) Judge: Hon. James Donato
2122232425	INC., ELON MUSK, and TESLA, INC.,	FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES (CIV. L.R. 7-12) Judge: Hon. James Donato

- 1	
1	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2, 7-12, and 54-5, Defendants Omar Qazi and Smick
2	Enterprises, Inc. (together, "Qazi Defendants"), through their respective attorneys, and Plaintiff
3	Aaron Greenspan ("Plaintiff") hereby submit this stipulated request to enlarge the time set for any
4	briefing on Qazi Defendants' planned motion for attorney's fees as follows:
5	WHEREAS, on May 20, 2020, Plaintiff filed his initial Complaint (ECF No. 1) in this
6	matter;
7	WHEREAS, on July 2, 2020, Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 20);
8	WHEREAS, on August 26, 2020, Plaintiff filed his Second Amended Complaint (ECF No.
9	70);
10	WHEREAS, on February 12, 2021, Plaintiff filed his Third Amended Complaint (ECF No.
11	103);
12	WHEREAS, on August 13, 2021, Plaintiff filed his Fourth Amended Complaint (ECF No.
13	131);
14	WHEREAS, on May 19, 2022, the Court issued its final Order and Judgment (ECF Nos. 171,
15	172) dismissing Plaintiff's federal claims with prejudice and state claims without prejudice;
16	WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b), Plaintiff is permitted up to
17	one year from the date of judgment to file a motion for relief from judgment;
18	WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4)(A)(vi), Plaintiff is
19	permitted 28 days from the date of judgment to file a motion for relief from judgment while
20	preserving the right to appeal while that motion is being considered;
21	WHEREAS, Plaintiff intends to file a motion for relief from judgment pursuant to Federal
22	Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) on or before June 16, 2022;
23	WHEREAS, Plaintiff intends to file a Notice of Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals
24	for the Ninth Circuit should the aforementioned motion be denied;
25	WHEREAS, Qazi Defendants intend to file a motion asking the Court to determine that it is
26	the prevailing party and for an award of reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
27	
28	1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

1	WHEREAS, Civil Local Rule 54-5 states that: "Motions for awards of attorney's fees by the	
2	Court must be served and filed within 14 days of entry of judgment by the District Court, unless	
3	otherwise ordered by the Court after a stipulation to enlarge time under Civil L.R. 6-2 or a motion	
4	under Civil L.R. 6-3.";	
5	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that the following briefing schedule would allow the parties to	
6	prepare Qazi Defendants' intended motion for attorney's fees in a way that would be most useful to	
7	the Court and would also allow the parties to "meet and confer for the purpose of resolving all	
8	disputed issues relating to attorney's fees" (Civil L.R. 54-5(a));	
9	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that Qazi Defendants shall file their motion for attorney's fees	
10	under Local Rule 54-5 no later than 14 days after the final resolution of this action by the Ninth	
11	Circuit Court of Appeals;	
12	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that Plaintiff shall file his opposition to Qazi Defendants'	
13	motion for attorney's fees no later than 30 days after the filing of that motion;	
14	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that Qazi Defendants shall file their reply brief in support of	
15	their motion for attorney's fees no later than 21 days after Plaintiff files his opposition;	
16	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that if any of the stipulated deadlines fall on a holiday or	
17	weekend, that deadline shall be extended to the next regular Court day;	
18	WHEREAS, other than setting the briefing deadlines above, nothing in this stipulation	
19	waives or in any way affects any procedural or substantive argument that either Party may make in	
20	relation to the issue of costs or fees in this matter.	
21		
22	NOW, THEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that the Court allow the Parties to	
23	proceed in accordance with the briefing schedule described above.	
24		
25	Respectfully submitted,	
26	Dated: June 1, 2022 OMAR QAZI	
27	SMICK ENTERPRISES, INC.	
28	2 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	

Case 3:20-cv-03426-JD Document 175-1 Filed 06/03/22 Page 14 of 14

By: /s/ DRAFT Omar Qazi By: /s/ DRAFT Smick Enterprises, Inc. Attorneys for Defendants Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc. Attorneys for Defendants Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc. By: /s/ DRAFT Aaron Greenspan PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 Pursuant to General Order 45, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/ DRAFT [Attorney]				
Omar Qazi By: /s/_DRAFT Smick Enterprises, Inc. Attorneys for Defendants Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc. Attorneys for Defendants Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc. AARON GREENSPAN By: /s/_DRAFT Aaron Greenspan PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. JAMES DONATO United States District Judge ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 Pursuant to General Order 45, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/_DRAFT	1	\mathbf{p}_{rrr} / / \mathbf{p}_{rr}		
By: Isl_DRAFT Smick Enterprises, Inc. Attorneys for Defendants Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc. Dated: June 1, 2022 AARON GREENSPAN By: Isl_DRAFT Aaron Greenspan PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: JAMES DONATO United States District Judge ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 Pursuant to General Order 45, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: Isl_DRAFT [Attorney]	2	· ·		
Attorneys for Defendants Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc. Attorneys for Defendants Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc. Dated: June 1, 2022 AARON GREENSPAN By: /s/ DRAFT / Aaron Greenspan PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated:	3	By: /s/ DRAFT		
Attorneys for Defendants Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc. Dated: June 1, 2022 AARON GREENSPAN By: /s/ _DRAFT	4			
Dated: June 1, 2022 AARON GREENSPAN By: /s/_DRAFT	5			
By: /s/ DRAFT Aaron Greenspan By: /s/ DRAFT Aaron Greenspan PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated:	6	Attorneys for Defendants Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc.		
By: /s/ DRAFT Aaron Greenspan PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated:	7	Dated: June 1, 2022 AARON GREENSPAN		
By: /s/ DRAFT Aaron Greenspan PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated:	8			
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated:	9	$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{v}_{t}}$ /o/ \mathbf{p}_{t}		
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated:	10	· ·		
Dated:	11			
Dated:	12	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
JAMES DONATO United States District Judge ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 Pursuant to General Order 45, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/_DRAFT_ [Attorney] ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 Pursuant to General Order 45, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/_DRAFT_ [Attorney]	13			
United States District Judge ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 Pursuant to General Order 45, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/_DRAFT	14	Dated:		
ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 Pursuant to General Order 45, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/_DRAFT_ [Attorney] ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 Pursuant to General Order 45, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/_DRAFT_ [Attorney]	15			
Pursuant to General Order 45, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/_DRAFT	16			
Pursuant to General Order 45, I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/ DRAFT [Attorney] Attorney]	17	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45		
been obtained from each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/ DRAFT [Attorney] Attorney]	18			
declaration) which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document. Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/_DRAFT	19			
21 Dated: June 1, 2022 By: /s/_DRAFT [Attorney] 23 24 25 26 27	20			
22 [Attorney] 23 24 25 26 27	21			
 24 25 26 27 	22			
25 26 27	23			
26 27	24			
27	25			
	26			
	27			
3 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	28	3 STIDIII ATION AND IDDODOSEDI ODDED		